

Dear Les,

8/24/84

As I recall, Whittaker was due back today and you'd left word for her or were going to phone her. "Remember, I also urged you to speak to the U.S. Attorney for D.C. This hasty note is to urge you not to forget to try to get to him personally. Because there is now still another involving him and it is so wild! He has signed a continuation of lies about "national security" and other such claims - for what your column published!

This is not my litigation, and the CIA is the defendant. But I've been asked for help and I intend to prepare an affidavit specifying when, how and where all that was withheld under "national security" claim was public domain long before the document in question was generated. I also expect that I'll be providing all the still withheld info, again from the public domain.

While I do not expect anyone to bat an eye over it, I'll be proving beyond question that a CIA official swore falsely to the court. It will be beyond question that whatever he may or may not have known, what he swore to and on which the CIA prevailed was known to many in the CIA, including in his component, to be false.

When I'm finished I'll send you a confidential copy. I'll do it this way because it is not my case.

And I think you'll enjoy it!

Best wishes,

I will try to persuade giving this to you on the basis that it makes an excellent followup. And may be useful to preserving FOIA, from which the CIA is about to get what amounts to total exemption.